on No.	Applicant/o)	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
	••	cant(s) Bitove et al.	
	Art Unit RNIE 2643		
	662,166 REXFORD BAF	r Art Unit	

All participants (applicant, applicant (1) REXFORD BARNIE (2) Mr. Bauer Date of Interview ______ Dec 3, 2002 Type: a) Telephonic b) Video Conference c) 🛛 Personal [copy is given to 1) 🖾 applicant 2) 🗆 applicant's representative] Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) \(\subseteq \text{Yes} \) \(\text{e} \) \(\text{No. If yes, brief description:} \) Claim(s) discussed: 15, 24, and 31 Identification of prior art discussed: CLARK Agreement with respect to the claims f(x) = x + x + y + y = 0 was not reached. f(x) = x + y = 0 was not reached. f(x) = x + y = 0 was not reached. f(x) = x + y = 0Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: APPLICANT WOULD PROPOSE AMENDMENTS, THE EXAMINER WOULD CONSIDER (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.) i) 🛮 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview (if box is checked). Unless the paragraph above has been checked, THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE

SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached

LAISTEORD N. BARNIE PATENT EXAMINER

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required

Tame